LOCKHEED MARTIN SERVICES GROUP 10101 SOUTHWEST FREEWAY, SUITE 500 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77074

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

February 18, 1998

TO:

Dr. Melvin Ritter, ESAT RPO, Region VI

FROM:

Dr. Tom Chiang, ESAT Team Manager, Region VI

SUBJECT:

CLP Data Review Lu. U

REF:

TDF # 6-8164A, ESAT File No. I2169

ESAT Contract No. 68-D6-0005

Attached is the data review summary for Case #25969

SDG #MFHL25

Site Doyle F J

Transformers

COMMENTS:

I. CONTRACTUAL ASSESSMENT OF DATA PACKAGE:

The laboratory was contractually compliant as determined by the hard copy and CCS reviews.

II. TECHNICAL/USABILITY ASSESSMENT OF DATA PACKAGE:

A total of 48 results were reviewed for this data package. The package is technically acceptable.

963456



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 6

HOUSTON BRANCH 10625 FALLSTONE ROAD HOUSTON, TEXAS 77099

INORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT

CASE NO. 25969 LABORATORY AATS CONTRACT # 68-D5-0141 SDG # MFHL25 SOW# ILM04.0 ACCT # 8FAXJN27 SF # FAXU	NO. MAT REV	OF SAMPL RIX <u>Water</u> IEWER (IF IEWER'S N	NOT ESD) AME Linda	ESAT
SAMPLE NO.: MFH-L25 MFH-L96 DATA	ASSESSME	NT SUMMAR	Y	
	ICP	HG	CYANIDE	
1. HOLDING TIMES 2. CALIBRATIONS 3. BLANKS 4. MATRIX SPIKES 5. DUPLICATE ANALYSIS 6. ICP QC	O O N/A N/A	_O _O _N/A N/A	O O N/A N/A	
7. FAA QC 8. LCS 9. SAMPLE VERIFICATION 10. OTHER QC 11. OVERALL ASSESSMENT	000	N/A 0 0 0	N/A _O _O	

O = Data had no problems.

M = Data qualified because of major or minor problems.

Z = Data unacceptable.
N/A = Not applicable.

ACTION ITEMS:

AREAS OF CONCERN:

NOTABLE PERFORMANCE: The laboratory submitted the data package to the Region seven days early.

COMMENTS/CLARIFICATIONS REGION 6 CLP REVIEW

Case 25969 SDG MFHL25 Site Doyle F J Transformers Lab AATS

COMMENTS: The package consisted of data for two water samples for total metals and cyanide analyses by ILM04.0. The sampler designated the samples as field QC samples but did not indicate the type of QC samples. The RSCC personnel informed the reviewer that the samples were rinsate samples. Since the samples are field QC, laboratory QC analyses were not required. The laboratory met the 35-day turnaround time requirement. Ninety-six percent of the reported results were below the CRDL's.

The data package is technically acceptable. The technical usability of all reported results is indicated in the Data Summary Table.

An Evidence Audit was conducted for the Complete Sample Delivery Group File (CSF), and the Evidence Inventory Checklist is included as part of this report.

NOTE: THE FOLLOWING REVIEW NARRATIVE ADDRESSES BOTH CONTRACTUAL ISSUES (BASED ON THE STATEMENT OF WORK) AND TECHNICAL ISSUES (BASED ON THE NATIONAL FUNCTIONAL GUIDELINES). THE ASSESSMENT MADE FOR EACH QC PARAMETER IS SOLELY BASED ON THE TECHNICAL DATA USABILITY, WHICH MAY NOT NECESSARILY BE AFFECTED BY CONTRACTUAL PROBLEMS.

- 1. Holding Times: Acceptable. Contractual and technical holding times and sample preservation criteria were met.
- 2. Calibrations: Acceptable. All calibrations met contractual requirements. The CRDL standard results indicated that instrument performance near the CRDL's was acceptable.
- 3. Blanks: Acceptable. Preparation and calibration blanks met contractual requirements although the laboratory reported 12 analytes in the blanks. Preparation blank concentrations affected the following results above the CRDL's as indicated.
 - •Zinc in sample MFH-L25 is considered undetected.
 - •Lead in sample MFH-L96 is considered biased high.

Rinsates: Rinsate sample MFH-L25 contained zinc above the CRDL and eight other analytes at concentrations below the CRDL's. The sodium and zinc concentrations in sample MFH-L25 were due to preparation blank concentrations of these analytes. Rinsate sample MFH-L96 contained lead at a concentration above the CRDL (2.4X) and 10 other analyte concentrations below the CRDL's. The aluminum, arsenic, calcium, and zinc concentrations in sample MFH-L96 were due to calibration or preparation blank concentrations of these

INORGANIC QA REVIEW CONTINUATION PAGE

Case 25969 SDG MFHL25 Site Doyle F J Transformers Lab AATS

3. Blanks, continued:

analytes. ESAT does not have information identifying the samples associated with the rinsate samples, so no evaluation for potential effects can be performed.

- Pre-digestion/Pre-distillation Matrix Spike Recovery: Not Applicable.
- 5. Duplicate Analysis: Not Applicable.
- 6. ICP Quality Control:

<u>Serial Dilution:</u> Not Applicable.

<u>Interference Check Sample:</u> Acceptable. Acceptable ICS results indicated satisfactory interelement and background correction.

<u>Coefficient of Variation:</u> Acceptable. Replicate ICP readings were consistent.

- 7. Furnace Atomic Absorption Quality Control: Not Applicable.
- 8. Laboratory Control Sample: Acceptable. Acceptable LCS results indicated satisfactory sample preparation and analysis.
- 9. Sample Verification: The reviewer detected a minor reporting error, and the laboratory was contacted for correction (see FAX Record Log).

The laboratory analyzed the two samples in this SDG on different ICP's. Therefore, the reported IDL's may be different for the same analyte.

- 10. Other QC: Not Applicable.
- 11. Overall Assessment: The data package is technically acceptable.

INORGANIC DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS

The following definitions provide brief explanations of the ESAT-Region 6 qualifiers assigned to results in the inorganic data review process.

- Undetected at the laboratory reported detection limit (IDL).
- L Reported concentration is between the IDL and the CRDL.
- J Result is estimated because of outlying quality control parameters such as matrix spike, serial dilution, FAA spike recovery, etc.
- R Result is unusable.
- F A possibility of a false negative exists.
- WC Reported concentration should be used as a raised detection limit because of apparent blank contamination.
- ^ High bias. Actual concentration may be lower than the concentration reported.
- v Low bias. Actual concentration may be higher than the concentration reported.

DATA SUMMARY

Case No.: 25969

SDG. No.: MFHL25

Reviewer: L. Hoffman

Laboratory: AATS

CYANIDE

1.0 0 1.0 0

Matrix:

WATER

Units:

ug/L

	FLAG _	FLAG	FLAG	FLAG	FLAG	COMMENTS
BPA TR #=>	MFH-L25	MFH-L96				
ALUMINUM	9.5 LJv	22.3 LUC				
ANTIMONY	3.0 ប	4.6 LUC				
ARSENIC	3.0 ℧	3.0 U				•
BARIUM	1.0 U	1.1 L				
BERYLLIUM	1.0 ប	1.0 U				
CADMIUM	1.0 0	1.0 U				
CALCIUM	153 L	70.2 LUC				
CHROMIUM	1.5 L	1.0 U				
COBALT	1.2 L	1.0 U				
COPPER	2.0 U	1.0 U				
IRON	54.8 L	7.3 LJv				
LEAD	2.0 U	7.1 J^	•			
Magnesium	70.0 U	17.9 L				
MANGANESE	1.6 L	1.3 L				
MERCURY	0.10 U	0.10 U				
NICKEL	2.7 L	1.0 U				
POTASSIUM	33.0 T	56.1 LJv				
SELENIUM	5.0 T	4.0 U				
SILVER	2.0 U	1.0 U				
SODIUM	296 LUC	133 L				
THALLIUM	4.0 U	4.0 U				
VANADIUM	1.0 U	1.0 U				
ZINC	24.4 UC	11.0 LUC				
	i					

INORGANIC/ORGANIC COMPLETE SDG FILE (CSF) INVENTORY CHECKLIST

Case No. 25969 SDG No. MFHL25 SDG Nos. To Follow	v SAS No	Date R	ec <u>02/</u>	12/98
EPA Lab ID: AATS	ORIGINALS	YES	NO	N/A
Lab Location: Broken Arrow, OK	CUSTODY SEALS			
Region: 6 Audit No.: 25969/MFHL25	Present on package?	х		
Re_Submitted CSF? Yes No X	2. Intact upon receipt?	х		
Box No(s):	FORM DC-2			
COMMENTS:	3. Numbering scheme accurate?		X	1
3. The laboratory made a few page number errors that the reviewer	4. Are enclosed documents listed?	х		1.
corrected.	5. Are listed documents enclosed?	х		1
	FORM DC-1			
	6. Present?	х		1
	7. Complete?	х		
•	8. Accurate?	х		
	CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD(s)			
	9. Signed?	х		l
	10. Dated?	x		
	TRAFFIC REPORT(s) PACKING LIST(s)		 · ·	
	11. Signed?	x		
	12. Dated?	x		
	AIRBILLS/AIRBILL STICKER	 		
	13. Present?	l x		
	14. Signed?	x		
	15. Dated?	x		\vdash
	SAMPLE TAGS			-
	16. Does DC-1 list tags as being included?	x		
	17. Present?	X		
	OTHER DOCUMENTS			
	18. Complete?	x		
	19. Legible?	X		
	20. Original?	X		
Over for additional comments.	20a.If "NO", does the copy indicate where original documents are located?			х
Audited by:	Linda Hoffman /ESAT Data Reviewer	Date	02/16	/98
Audited by:		Date		
Audited by:	·	Date		
Signature	Printed Name/Title			
то ве сом	PLETED BY CEAT			<u>-</u>
Date Recvd by CEAT:	pate Entered: Date Reviewed:			
Entered by:				
Reviewed by:				
Signature	Printed Name/Title			

In Reference to
Case 25969/SDG MFHL25
Page 1 of 1 page
ESAT File No.: 12169

Contract Laboratory Program REGIONAL/LABORATORY COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

FAX Record Log

Date of FAX: February 18. 1998

Laboratory Name: AATS

Lab Contact: Jason Ruckman

Region: 6

Regional Contact: Linda Hoffman (ESAT)

FAX Initiated by: Region

In reference to data for the following sample number(s):

All samples in this SDG.

Summary of Questions/Issues:

- 1. The cooler temperature was not recorded on the case narrative (ILM04.0, Exhibit A, Task I, A-6, last paragraph of #5). Please acknowledge and note for the future.
- 2. On page 94 of the raw data, the EPA sample number should be "S0", not "S". Please correct and resubmit page 94.
- 3. On pages 125 and 126 of the cyanide raw data has an S5 standard but Form 14 (p. 42) has an S20 standard. If this discrepancy was not corrected in response to CCS, please correct and resubmit Form 14.

The EPA expects the laboratory to look into the above items and submit the data within 7 days to:

Attn: Mahmoud El-Feky - U.S. EPA

10625 Fallstone Road Houston, TX 77099

Signature

02/18/98 Date

Distribution: (1) Lab Copy, (2) Region Copy